

OT CONTACT LENS GUIDE - 27/03/09

JAMES WOLFFSOHN – SOFT CONTACT LENS FIT

1. Barrandon Y. Crossing boundaries: stem cells, holoclones, and the fundamentals of squamous epithelial renewal. *Cornea* 2007;26:S10-2.
2. Brennan NA, Lindsay RG, McCraw K, Young L, Bruce AS, Golding TR. Soft lens movement: temporal characteristics. *Optom Vis Sci* 1994;71:359-63.
3. Fonn D, Situ P, Simpson T. Hydrogel lens dehydration and subjective comfort and dryness ratings in symptomatic and asymptomatic contact lens wearers. *Optom Vis Sci* 1999;63:700-4.
4. Glasson MJ, Stapleton F, Keay L, Sweeney D, Willcox MDP. Differences in clinical parameters and tear film of tolerant and intolerant contact lens wearers. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2003;44:5116-24.
5. Golding TR, Harris MG, Smith RC, Brennan NA. Soft lens movement: effects of humidity and hypertonic saline on lens settling. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand* 1995;32:139-44.
6. Little SA, Bruce AS. Osmotic determinants of postlens tear film morphology and hydrogel lens movement. *Ophthalm Physiol Opt* 1995;15:117-24.
7. Maldonado-Codina C, Efron N. Impact of manufacturing technology and material composition on the clinical performance of hydrogel lenses. *Optom Vis Sci* 2004;81:442-54.
8. Schwallie JD, Bauman RE. Fitting characteristics of Dailies daily disposable hydrogel contact lenses. *CLAO J* 1998;24:102-6.
9. Wolffsohn, JS Hunt, OA Basra, AK. 2009 Simplified recording of lens fit. *Contact Lens and Anterior Eye*. 2009; *In press*.
10. Young G. Evaluation of soft contact lens fitting characteristics. *Optom Vis Sci* 1996;73:247-54.
11. Young G, Veys J, Pritchard N, Coleman S. A multi-centre study of lapsed contact lens wearers. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2002;22:516-27.